UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

NORMAN DACK,

Plaintiff,

v.

J. GATCHELL et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C04-5763FDB

ORDER DENYING COUNSEL

This Civil Rights action has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Before the court is plaintiff's second motion for appointment of counsel. (Dkt. # 19). This motion contains a request for telephonic appearance as well. The motion was filed two days after the court entered an order denying plaintiff's first motion for appointment of counsel. The Court, having reviewed plaintiff's motion does hereby find and ORDER:

(1) There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Although the court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d), can request counsel to represent a party proceeding *in forma pauperis*, the court may do so only in exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089 (9th Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to

ORDER - 1

Case 3:04-cv-05763-JKA Document 26 Filed 04/21/05 Page 2 of 2

articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331. Plaintiff has demonstrated an adequate ability to articulate his claims pro se. Moreover, it appears that this case does not involve exceptional circumstances which warrant appointment of counsel. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion to Appoint Counsel and telephonic appearance is **DENIED**. (2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant. DATED this 21st day of April, 2005 /S/ J. Kelley Arnold J. Kelley Arnold United States Magistrate Judge ORDER - 2